

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 205)

CRM-M No.54740 of 2019

Date of decision : 21.01.2022

Tarsem Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate for the complainant.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.102 dated 05.10.2019 registered under Sections 406/420 IPC and Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals Regulation Act, 2014 at Police Station City Nakodar, District Jalandhar (Rural).

On 20.12.2019, this Court had passed the following order : -

“Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.102 dated 05.10.2019 registered under Section 406/420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Profession Regulation Act, 2014 at Police Station City Nakodar, District Jalandhar (Rural).

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. The petitioner incurred expenses on air ticket and visa of the complainant number of times who did not board the flight. The complainant started blackmailing him and lodged the FIR to pressurize the petitioner. Nothing is to be recovered from him and his custodial interrogation is not necessary. The petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Kaundal, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Copy of the paper book has been supplied to the learned State counsel, who seeks time to complete his instructions.

Adjourned to 17.02.2020.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail by the arresting officer/investigating officer on furnishing of bail bonds by him to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/investigating officer. The petitioner shall comply with the conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. failing which he shall not be entitled to the benefit of protection of interim bail allowed to him.”

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and after completion of the investigation the police has filed before the competent Court the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C.

After considering the submissions recorded in the afore quoted order and the subsequent developments, it is directed that interim order

dated 20.12.2019 passed by this Court be made absolute and it is so ordered.

21.01.2022
sunil yadav

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No