

RITU RANI

...Petitioner

Versus

SUTANTAR KUMAR AIRI DIRECTOR

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Harish Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 22.12.2017 in CWP No.29722 of 2017 in case titled as Ritu Rani vs. State of Punjab and Ors.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.29722 of 2017 was disposed of by directing the respondent to look into the grievances of the petitioner as contained in legal notice dated 19.07.2016 and decide the same within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the status report having been called for by this Court vide order dated 06.01.2020, the respondent has rejected the claim of the petitioner as contained in legal notice dated 19.07.2016, vide order, Annexure R/1 dated 27.02.2020 therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge order Annexure

R/1 dated 27.02.2020 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above as well as the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the contempt petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

18.08.2022
'Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>