

225

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-37424-2019

Date of decision-29.04.2022

Paramjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun K. Kaundal, DAG, Punjab.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel submits that the writ petition has been filed challenging the appointment of an Administrator. The appointment of Administrator was for a period of two months and the same has now come to an end. Thus, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in case cause of action again arise.

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

29.04.2022

geeta

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No