

[104] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.4319 of 2019
Date of Decision : 05.04.2022

Devinder Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

Sarbjit Singh, Principal Secretary,
Govt. of Punjab and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Surmukh Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Monica Chhibber Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 13.05.2019 in CWP No.12521 of 2019 in case titled as '**Davinder Singh versus State of Punjab and others**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.12521 of 2019 was disposed of vide order dated 13.05.2019 by directing the respondents to decide legal notice dated 21.09.2018 within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case, it was found that the petitioner was entitled to any monetary benefits, to release the same to him within three months thereafter.

[3] Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has filed short reply by way of affidavit of *Shri Vinay Bublani, Chief Administrator, Punjab Urban Planning and Development Authority (PUDA)*, on behalf of

29.10.2019, 17.03.2021 and 09.09.2021 respectively and further states that financial benefits as per entitlement determined by the aforementioned orders has been released to the petitioner. Reply is taken on record and copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal of the same, states that in view of orders (Annexures R-2/1 to R-2/3), the petitioner does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to challenge the aforementioned orders in accordance with law to the extent of denial of all the benefits sought for in legal notice dated 21.09.2018.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner, as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

05.04.2022
'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>