

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

129

CR-8229-2019

Date of Decision: 27.05.2022.

M/s S.S Financer through its Proprietor

....Petitioner.

Versus

Joginder Singh

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder Singh, Advocate (Legal Aid Counsel)
for the respondent.

Anil Kshetarpal, J. (Oral)

In view of the judgment passed by the Supreme Court in **Sri Rathnavarmaraja Vs. Smt. Vimla, 1961 (3) SCR 1015**, the revision petition at the behest of the defendant complaining insufficient Court fee, is not maintainable after his application before the trial Court has been rejected.

However, learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the plaint is cleverly drafted and, in fact, the suit is for recovery of the amount.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the revision petition is disposed of while permitting the petitioner to file an application for framing of preliminary issue on this aspect of the matter.

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

27.05.2022.

komal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No