

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
(104+232)

CM No.19751 of 2022 in/and
CWP No.37102 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 14.12.2022

Kamla Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Kamal Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

CM No.19751 of 2022

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Replication is taken on record.

CWP No.37102 of 2019

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the respondents have filed reply controverting the claim of the petitioner but all the facts have not been taken into account while declining the claim of the petitioner for appointment with retrospective effect keeping in view the order passed in CWP No.7102 of 2010 decided on 31.05.2012.

Learned counsel for the petitioner intends to approach the respondents by giving detail that the claim of the petitioner is justified for anti-dated appointment keeping in view the directions issued by this Court

as well as in view of relief given by this Court in similar circumstances in CWP No.14032 of 2013, titled as **Naresh Kumar Vs. State of Haryana** and the respondents be directed to decide the said claim expeditiously so that the petitioner does not suffer further.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any representation is filed by the petitioner, the same will be decided in accordance with law by passing appropriate speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of any such representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents-State, the present petition be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

December 14, 2022
jt

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*
Whether reportable? *No*