

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

126

**CR-8304 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.12.2022**

Anil Kumar @ Anil Kumar Sharma

..Petitioner

Versus

Vipan Kumar Sharma and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Brijindra S. Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagan Nath Bhandari, Advocate
for respondent no.1.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

By way of impugned order, the Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division), Chandigarh, has only directed the Local Commissioner to prepare an inventory of locker no.462, State Bank of India, Sector 17, Chandigarh, in the presence of the parties as well as the bank manager.

The petitioner claims that for the jewellery items, the petition for the grant of succession certificate is not maintainable. A reading of the impugned order, it is evident that the petitioner never objected before the trial court. The trial court has only directed the Local Commissioner to prepare an inventory after opening the locker.

Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

December 05, 2022

nt

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No