

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(201)

CRM-M-54629-2019

Date of Decision: 22.11.2022

Gurpinder Singh and another

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

--Respondents

CRM-M-53411-2019

Mukhtiar Singh and others

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

--Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA.

Present:- Mr.Gaurav Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-54629-2019.

Mr. Sarabjit Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-53411-2019.

Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anand Kaushal, Advocate for
Mr. D.S.Gandhi, Advocate,
for respondent no.2.

ASHOK KUMAR VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Vide this common order CRM-M-54629-2019 & CRM-M-53411-2019 are being disposed of since both the petitions have arisen out of one FIR therefore for brevity common facts are being noticed.

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.45 dated 27.02.2016 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 447 and 120-B of the IPC, at Police Station Sultanwind, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of affidavit/compromise dated 10.12.2019 (Annexures P-2) effected between

the private parties.

Pursuant to order dated 08.09.2022 passed by this Court, the private parties appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar, to get their statements recorded. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar, submitted his report dated 17.10.2022 along with photostat copies of statements of the parties, which are taken on record.

It is now well settled that the High Court has inherent power to quash the criminal proceedings in non-compoundable cases on the basis of settlement between the parties for securing the ends of justice or to prevent abuse of the process where the possibility of conviction is remote and bleak and continuation of the criminal case would put the accused to great oppression and prejudice and extreme injustice would be caused to him by not quashing the criminal case. Criminal cases having overwhelmingly and predominantly civil character particularly those arising out of commercial transaction or arising out of matrimonial relationship or family dispute can be quashed when the parties have resolved their entire dispute among themselves. However, such power cannot be exercised in those prosecutions which involve heinous and serious offences of mental depravity or offences like murder, rape dacoity, etc. which are not private in nature and have a serious impact on society. Similarly, prosecution for offences alleged to have been committed under special enactments like the Prevention of Corruption Act or the offences committed by public servant while working in that capacity cannot be quashed on the basis of compromise between the victim and the offender. For judicial precedents in this regard, reference may be

made to **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another : 2012(4) RCR Criminal) 543, Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab (Supreme Court) : 2014 (2) RCR (Criminal) 482, State of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Laxmi Narayan and others (Supreme Court) : 2019 (2) RCR (Criminal) 255 and Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others (Punjab and Haryana High Court) : 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052.**

According to the reports, learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar, is satisfied that compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary, without any pressure and with their free will.

It has further been stated that in compliance of the aforesaid order cost of Rs.10,000/- has been deposited with the District Legal Services Authority, Amritsar.

Considering the report dated 17.10.2022 of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar, and the fact that the compromise will bring peace and harmony between the parties, aforesaid FIR No.45 dated 27.02.2016 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 447 and 120-B of the IPC and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed, qua the petitioners only.

Disposed of, accordingly.

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

22.11.2022
dharamvir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No