

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH.**

223

**CRWP-2177-2019**

**Date of decision: 17.02.2022**

HARPREET KAUR

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH**

Present : Dr. Govinder Singh Brar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.A.Pathak, Addl. A.G., Punjab  
for respondents No. 1 to 3

\*\*\*\*

**SANT PARKASH, J. (ORAL)**

*(The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.)*

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking directions to the official respondents to protect her life and liberty from danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8.

The petition has been filed on the averments that the petitioner solemnized marriage with respondent No. 4- Satnam Singh on 20.01.2010 and out of the wedlock two girl child were born who are now aged 8 and 5 years. The in-laws family of the petitioner after birth of the second girl child started harassing the petitioner for divorce and also allowed their son to solemnize marriage with another woman and now the husband of the petitioner is residing with another woman in the same premises without any legal divorce. The petitioner's husband and her in-laws are threatening her (petitioner) and her minor children to

vacate the matrimonial home else they will eliminate them.

She further submits that a representation dated 14.08.2019 (Annexure P-1) was made to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Rupnagar for taking legal action against the private respondents but no action has been taken so far in the matter and prays for issuance of direction in this regard.

Pursuant to issuance of notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 on 22.11.2019, reply by way of affidavit of Sukhjit Singh Virk, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sri Chamkaur Sahib, District Rupnagar was filed which is already on the case file.

In reply, it has been mentioned that the petitioner has compromised the matter with the private respondents and she did not want any action on her complaint.

Further, in para No. 4 of the reply, it has been mentioned that thorough inquiry into the allegations levelled by the petitioner was carried out and it was found that the petitioner is residing in a lavish house constructed by respondents No. 5 and 6 with her daughters separately, whereas, respondents No. 5 and 6 are old aged persons and residing separately in a dilapidated house and husband of the petitioner is residing somewhere in Chandigarh. It has been further revealed that there is no threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner and her daughters from anyone.

I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the record.

At the very outset, learned Counsel for the petitioner has confined his prayer only to the extent for grant of protection to the

petitioner and her minor daughters due to the matrimonial discord between the petitioner and her husband.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Rupnagar is directed to get the alleged threat perception of the petitioner examined expeditiously, consider the representation (Annexure P-1) made by the petitioner, to take appropriate action in view of the averments made therein as may be warranted by the facts and circumstances of the case and to decide the same in accordance with law within one month on receipt of copy of this order.

Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above said representation to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Rupnagar.

**17.02.2022**

kavneet singh

**(SANT PARKASH)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No