

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44326-2018

Date of decision: 11.05.2022

Shimla Devi and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

Ramesh

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition whereby challenge was laid to order dated 30.01.2017 passed by JMIC, Panipat granting interim maintenance to the petitioners seeking enhancement thereof.

2. Owing to the fact that more than 5 years have already elapsed, on the asking of the Court, counsel for the petitioners states that proceedings under section 125 Cr.P.C. have not proceeded further, as the respondent has not complied with the order whereby the interim maintenance was granted.

3. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the trial Court is directed to expedite the proceedings so that petitioners are not rendered in destitution. Petitioners shall be at liberty to avail appropriate remedy for recovery of interim maintenance granted.

4. Petition stands disposed off.

**(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE**

11.05.2022

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No