

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 235)

CRM-M No. 53967 of 2019

Date of decision : 24.03.2022

Sahil Sood

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sourav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 105 dated 08.09.2018 registered under Sections 406, 498-A and 120-B IPC at Police Station Amloh, district Fatehgarh Sahib on the ground that the petitioner and respondent No.2 have amicably settled their matrimonial dispute.

On 17.12.2019, this Court had passed the following order :-

“The petitioner has approached this Court seeking quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of a compromise having been effected between the parties.

Notice of motion for 25.3.2020.

At this stage, Ms. Chhavi Sharma, Advocate has today

put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and has filed Vakalatnama, which is taken on record.

The parties are directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on 17.1.2020 for getting their statements recorded qua the factum of compromise.

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court is directed to submit its report on or before the next date of hearing as regards authenticity and genuineness of compromise after recording statements of all the affected parties.

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court shall also furnish the following information:-

- 1. Whether there is any other accused other than the petitioner, arrayed in this petition?*
- 2. Whether there is any other complainant or affected/aggrieved party other than the respondents, arrayed in the petition?"*

In pursuance to the afore quoted order a report dated 22.03.2022 from the District and Sessions Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib has been received as per which there is no compromise between the parties.

For the last two dates there is also no appearance either on behalf of the petitioner or on behalf of respondent No.2.

Dismissed.

24.03.2022

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No