

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.107

**Civil Writ Petition No.36559 of 2019
Date of Decision : May 24, 2022**

Anil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Deputy Commissioner, Gurdaspur and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

An order of eviction has been passed against the petitioner under the Punjab Public Premises and Land (Eviction and Rent Recovery) Act, 1973 and appeal thereagainst has been dismissed way back in the year 2018. The property in dispute is a shop taken on rent from the Zila Parishad, Gurdaspur.

The case has been listed on two earlier occasions i.e. 17.12.2019 and 14.02.2020. On 17.12.2019, request for adjournment was made on the ground that the counsel was unwell. On 14.02.2020, none appeared for the petitioner despite the case having been called twice. The same is the situation today also and thus, it is apparent that the learned counsel for the petitioner is not serious about arguing this case.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed for want of prosecution.

May 24, 2022

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No