

235 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR-5015-2018
Date of Decision: 29th April, 2022

Rajinder Singh

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioner.
 Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the challenge in the petition was to the order directing the petitioner to give his voice sample. The revision petition was accompanied by application for condonation of delay and the notice was issued for the application only. He further submits that with the efflux of time no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition as challan stands presented.

The present petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Since the main petition is disposed of as infructuous, pending application for condonation of delay has only academic relevance.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

29th April, 2022
Parveen Sharma

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No