

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

126

CRM-M-35965-2018

Date of Decision: 30.05.2022

JASBIR KAUR

.....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.P.Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Chander Shekhar, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.
2. Permission granted.
3. Consequently, the extant petition is dismissed as withdrawn. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to institute a criminal revision petition against the impugned order, before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra. The bar of limitation may not become attracted against the petitioner herein, if he files an application under Section 14 of the Limitation Act, along with the revision petition to be instituted, before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra.
4. The learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra shall make a conjoint decision upon the criminal revision CRR 39 of 2016, and, the CRR to be filed by the petitioner, but subject to a decision in accordance with law, being made upon the application(s) of each, seeking the condonation(s) of delay in the institution of the criminal revision petitions concerned, before the Revisional Court concerned.

5. Since the main case itself has been decided, all the pending application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

30.05.2022
kavneet singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No