

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.104

CR No.8136 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 19.05.2022

Ram Kishan

...Revisionist-Petitioner

Versus

Raj Bala

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Ms. Shruti Rathour, Advocate,
for the revisionist-petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the revisionist-petitioner apprises the Court that the revisionist-petitioner has already vacated the demised premises and has started living somewhere else and thus, the instant revision petition has become infructuous.

Resultantly, the revision petition in hand stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

19.05.2022

neetu

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No