

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

265

CRM-M-53381-2019

Date of Decision:09.08.2022

Ajmer Vohra

.....Petitioner

Vs.

UT Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Rajeshwar Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Kumar Lamdharia, APP, UT Chandigarh.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed for issuing directions to the respondents to change the registration certificate number of car of the petitioner which was stolen.

On inquiry, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that model of the car was 1999 and it was Maruti 800.

Since 22 years have passed even otherwise from the date of manufacturing, certificate of the car has lapsed automatically.

Consequently, the petition is disposed as having become infructuous. In case of any un-redressed grievances, liberty is reserved for the petitioner to make representation to the concerned authorities who shall decide the same by passing a speaking and reasoned order and in case, the petitioner is still not satisfied, he can approach this Court again.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

09.08.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No