

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-53313-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.01.2022

Saurav Rana

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikrant Oberoi, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR
No.235 dated 05.11.2019 under Section 408 IPC, registered at Police Station
Division No.7, Ludhiana.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was
passed by this Court on 13.12.2019: -

“...Allegation against the petitioner is that he is working as

Cashier in Amazon Delivery Centre situated in Ludhiana. An amount of Rs.12 lacs was deposited with him by the delivery boys after the goods were delivered, which has not been accounted for.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that as per the process, whenever an amount is received by the Cashier in the office from the delivery boys, the concerned Cashier/supervisor is required to make the Account Nil by applying his computer ID so as to remove the liability of the delivery boys. He further contends that the petitioner deposited all the money received from the delivery boys and that no amount is outstanding in respect of his I.D and the truth could be elicited only after verification from the Computer ID maintained by the petitioner as also the company account...”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation. It is further submitted that now the matter has been settled with the complainant. It is also submitted that for a period of more than two years, there is no allegation against the petitioner that he has misused the concession of interim anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel for the complainant has acknowledged that there is a compromise between the parties.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioner is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail

granted to the petitioner vide order dated 13.12.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

12.01.2022
vishnu

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No