

111 (three cases)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(i) CRM-M-53326-2019 (O&M)

Deepak	Versus	 Petitioner
State of Haryana		 Respondent

(ii) CRM-M-10842-2020 (O&M)

Dhiraj	Versus	 Petitioner
State of Haryana		 Respondent

(iii) CRM-M-54083-2019 (O&M)

Lalit	Versus	 Petitioner
State of Haryana		 Respondent

Date of Decision : 19.01.2022

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present : Mr. Naveen Kashyap, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-53326-2019 and
CRM-M-54083-2019.

Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-10842-2020.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Case heard via video conferencing.

Vide both these petitions, the petitioners seek the concession of

'regular bail' under the provisions of Section 439 of the Cr.P.C., upon FIR no.136, dated 13.02.2019, having been registered at Police Station City, Panipat, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 379-B of the IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

On 10.11.2021, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners had stated that the complainant in the FIR had turned hostile in the trial.

Learned State counsel had sought time to determine that fact on the last two dates of hearing and today he, on instructions from Sub Inspector Yashpal, submits that the complainant infact has turned hostile qua all the accused.

That being so, looking at the stage of the trial and without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, these petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail, upon their furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court. (A copy of this order be also placed on the files of the other connected matters too).

19.01.2022
mamta

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No