

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

237

CRWP-1932-2019

Date of Decision : February 21, 2022

MADHU DEVI AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab.

None for respondents No.5 to 9.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issue a writ of Mandamus directing the respondent-police for protecting the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents and their relations.

On 11.12.2019 when notice of motion was issued by this Court, the SSP, Rupnagar was directed to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation and to take appropriate action for protection of their life and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

On the last two dates of hearing i.e. 4.2.2020 and 3.11.2021 nobody had caused appearance on behalf of the petitioners. Today, also nobody has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioners and, therefore, this Court is left with no other option but to proceed with the case in the absence of the learned counsel for the petitioners and, therefore, this Court will take the assistance of the learned State counsel, pleadings of the petition and the reply filed by the State.

The learned State counsel has submitted that a detailed status report has been filed by way of affidavit of DSP, Sri Chamkaur Sahib, District Rupnagar and while referring the same he submitted that on the receipt of the orders passed by this Court, an enquiry was conducted into the matter and the SHO, Police Station Sadar Morinda visited the petitioners and respondents No.5 to 9 and examined them and also recorded their statements and from the enquiry it has been revealed that petitioners had solemnized marriage on 8.12.2019 against the wishes of respondents No.5 to 9 and they have expressed no objection or grudge with regard to the marriage of the petitioners and they further informed that they will not interfere into the life of petitioners and similarly the petitioners have disclosed that they have no apprehension from respondents No.5 to 9 and they are now residing as husband and wife at the address mentioned in the petition without any fear and apprehension from respondents No.5 to 9. The statements have also been annexed alongwith the reply as Annexures R-1 to R-7.

In view of the affidavit filed by the State wherein it is stated that the private respondents have undertaken in their statements that they will pose no threat to the petitioners and the petitioners do not have any apprehension from respondents No.5 to 9, no further proceedings are required to be called for.

Consequently, the present petition is, hereby, dismissed.

February 21, 2022

ajay-1

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No