

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

232

CWP-33528-2018

Date of Decision :11.03.2022

Jasvir Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Vir Singh Lehal, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The grievance as raised by the the petitioner in the present petition is that the period of work-charge service, which the petitioner had rendered with the respondents has not been taken into consideration as qualifying service while calculating his pensionary benefits, which is contrary to the settled principle of law.

After the notice of motion was issued, respondent-State has filed reply, wherein, it has been submitted that upon reconsideration of the case of the petitioner, the petitioner was found entitled for the relief as being claimed by him in the present petition that period for which the petitioner had rendered services with the respondents on work-charge basis i.e. 15.03.1989 to 05.09.2004, has been taken into consideration as qualifying service for computing his pensionary benefits, which is clear from the order

dated 28.12.2018 (Anneuxre R-2) attached with the reply. Learned State counsel further submits that the pensionary benefits of the petitioner have been recalculated and a sum of Rs.2,58,454/- admissible to the petitioner has already been paid to the petitioner over and above the pensionary benefits already calculated and paid, hence, no more grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition..

Learned State counsel very fairly submits that in case, petitioner still has any grievance, he can approach the respondent-State by way of filing an appropriate representation and in case, any such representation is filed by the petitioner, the same will be decided by them in accordance with law by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition and the same is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

March 11, 2022*aarti***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE***Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether reportable : Yes/No*