

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr.No.227

CRR No.2400 of 2018(O&M)

Date of Decision:10.01.2022

Lachman Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & anr.

...Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate
for the revisionist-petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1.

None for respondent No.2.

* * * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

Custody-certificate of the revisionist-petitioner, as forwarded by learned State counsel to this Court through *e-mail*, is taken on the record. As mentioned therein, the revisionist-petitioner has already been released from the jail on completion of the sentence on 01.06.2019.

At this stage, learned counsel for the revisionist-petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that it has become infructuous.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the revision petition in hand stands dismissed as withdrawn for having been rendered infructuous.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

10.01.2022
monika

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether Reportable?	No