

126 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-61107-2022
Decided on : 27.12.2022

Rahul Chopra

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Viren Sibal, Advocate
Legal aid counsel for the petitioner.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Section 482 Cr.PC is for issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to take action against private respondents as the petitioner apprehends threat at the hands of respondents No.3 to12.

After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, if the petition is disposed off with directions to the official respondents to consider and decide his representations dated 01.10.2022 and 20.09.2022 (Annexures P-4, P-5 and P-6) in accordance with law.

A perusal of the representations dated 01.10.2022 and 20.09.2022 (Annexures P-4, P-5 and P-6) reveal that they have been made to the District and Sessions Judge, Jalandhar and not to respondent No.2. Hence, no direction as prayed for can be issued to respondent No.2 to look into the said representations.

The petitioner would be well advised to approach respondent No.3 – Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar qua the alleged threat perception at the hands of the private respondents.

The petition is disposed of with directions to Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar that as and when any representation is made to him by the petitioner qua the alleged threats being extended to him by the private respondents, the same would be looked into and appropriate steps, if any required, would be taken in accordance with law.

27.12.2022
sonia

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No