

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-12244-2022

SAURABH KUMAR AND ANR.

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

UT CHD. AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Rakesh Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, APP for U.T., Chandigarh.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of appropriate direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to restrain the private respondents No.4 to 6, not to harass or interfere in the peaceful life of the petitioners.

Learned State counsel has produced the statement of petitioner No.1-Saurabh Kumar, wherein it has been mentioned that both the petitioners have no threat to their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6, which is taken on record as Mark 'A'.

In view of the statement of petitioner No.1, nothing survives in the present petition and the same is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE**

27.12.2022

Poonam Negi

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*