

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No. 12213-2022

Date of Decision: 26.12.2022

Kirandeep Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. Ruhani Chadha, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of direction to respondent No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1-Kirandeep Kaur aged about 27 years and petitioner No.2 Bobby aged about 26 years have got married on 21.12.2022 according to Sikh rites and ceremonies against the wishes of respondent No.4 to 6 who are the father, mother and brother of petitioner No.1. The marriage certificate is annexed as Annexure P-3.

The learned counsel for the petitioners further submitted that petitioners knew each other since long. They have tried their level best to convince their family members including respondents No.4 to 6 but they

were against this marriage and now they are apprehending threat from the hands of respondent No.4 to 6. On 21.12.2022, the petitioners feeling imminent danger to their life and liberty approached respondent No.2-S.S.P. District Kapurthala through a common friend and made a written representation dated 21.12.2022 (Annexure P5) but till date no police protection has been provided to the petitioners and they are facing constant threat from the private respondents No.4 to 6.

Notice of motion.

Shri Navneet Singh, Sr.DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1 to 3.

In support of petitioners being major they have filed the photocopies of their Aadhar Cards and an affidavit in support of the averments made in the petition wherein petitioner No.1- Kirandeep Kaur has alleged her date of birth as 02.03.1995 and petitioner No.2- Bobby has alleged his date of birth as 22.09.1996. Apart from this, copy of the marriage certificate and the representation given to the respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala, has also been placed on record.

In view of this, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala to look into the representation dated 21.12.2022 sent through a courier on 21.12.2022 qua threat perception and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that lives and liberty of petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

Further, it is made clear that if any of the averments made in the petition is found to be incorrect, specifically with regard to age of the petitioners or the petitioners being in any prohibited relationship to each other, or as regards their previous marital status, this order shall not be construed to be a bar on proceedings to be initiated as per law.

December 26, 2022
nitin

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking : Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No