

240

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-45229-2018

Date of Decision: September 13, 2022

GURMEET SINGH BABLU AND ANOTHER

....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Ms. Palak Dev, Advocate
for the petitioner No.1.

Mr. N.P.S. Hira, Advocate
for petitioner Nos.2 to 4.

Mr. M.S. Atwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. R.S. Bajaj, Advocate
for respondent No.5 and 6.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.
for issuance of appropriate direction to respondent Nos.2 to 4 to protect the
life and liberty of the petitioner from respondent Nos.5 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on a
representation submitted by the petitioner to the ADGP Security, Punjab.
Security personnel had been deputed along with the petitioner vide
Annexure P-11.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Kuldeep Kumar, submits that they have verified that as of now there is no threat perception, however, a security guard has already been provided by the State of Punjab.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.5 and 6 on instructions from his client submits that they were neither threatening the petitioner nor shall threaten the petitioner in future.

In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as infructuous.

13.09.2022
Sangeeta

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No