

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(122)

CWP No. 30183 of 2022

Date of Decision : 23.12.2022

Sanni and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that certain posts, which were advertised vide Advertisement No. 2/12 dated 08.11.2012 (Annexure P-1), could not be filled up as the selected candidates did not join the post hence, petitioners, who are next in the merit, be granted the benefit of appointment against the unfilled posts.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned State counsel submits that in case, any grievance is raised by the petitioners, the same will be addressed in accordance with law by passing an appropriate order within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such grievance.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the above statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

December 23, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No