

**117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-60737-2022

Date of decision: 23.12.2022

Deepak Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking issuance of directions to respondents to not harass the petitioner by lodging false and multiple FIRs as respondents No.6 & 7 threatened the present petitioner *qua* new/false FIRs will be lodged against him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a social worker due to which he is very famous in his District and because of his fame, some powerful persons of the District who in order to tarnish the image and reputation of the petitioner had threatened him in connivance with police officials and when petitioner has not paid any heed to the threats given by them, they in connivance with police officials lodged 06 FIRs against the petitioner in District Jind, Haryana in a very planned manner (FIR Nos.01, 45, 73, 68, 83 & 84 dated 01.01.2022; 01.02.2022; 23.02.2022; 03.03.2022; 11.03.2022 & 11.03.2022, respectively) and in all these FIRs there is almost 04 years' delay and a Company, namely, Ansh Motion Pictures is involved and the petitioner has no link with the said Company and neither he is owner nor he is an employee of the said Company. He further submits that the petitioner has made representations dated 27.04.2022 & 07.08.2022 to the Inspector General, Hisar Range

and to DGP Haryana *qua* apprehension of new FIRs and transfer of investigation but till today no action has been taken.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana puts in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents-State.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition be disposed off with a direction to respondent No.3-Inspector General of Police, Hisar Range, to consider and decide the representations dated 27.04.2022; 07.08.2022 and 21.12.2022 (Annexures P-6 to P-8) alleged to have been submitted by the petitioner.

Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the claim of the petitioners and even the assertions set out in the petition, the present petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.3 that if any such representations, as indicated above, are indeed pending consideration, it shall be dealt with expeditiously in accordance with law by passing a speaking order, preferably within six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and convey the same to the petitioner. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file a consolidated representation to respondent No.3 within 05 days. Upon doing so, the same may also be considered within the given time frame.

The present petition stands disposed off accordingly.

23.12.2022
Neha

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No