

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

CWP-29932-2022

Date of Decision :23.12.2022

**Renu Sharma**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and others**

**....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

\* \* \*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prima facie the petitioner has a good case as she has material of the renowned book qua question No.44 to say that answer given by the petitioner was a valid answer but the report of the subject expert sticking to the incorrect answer, as given in the final answer key, is not correct opinion, hence, the petitioner who intends to approach the Commission at this stage be permitted to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach the respondent-Commission for the redressal of her grievance.

Ordered accordingly.

**December 23, 2022**

*aarti*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**

**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No*

*Whether reportable : Yes/No*