

101 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.30132 of 2022
Date of Decision: 23.12.2022

Kanwal Rampal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Himanshu Chhabra, Advocate &
Mr. Anil Kumar Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU. J.

Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents No.2 to 5 to supply the requisite information sought by petitioner vide application(s) (P-9A, P-10 & P-11).

Learned Counsel on instructions from petitioner states that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case representations dated 29.08.2022, 10.02.2022 & 26.08.2022 (P-9A, P-10 & P-11 respectively) are decided by the authorities, expeditiously.

Notice of motion to the aforesaid limited extent.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Anil Sharma, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection against the innocuous prayer of the petitioner.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with

direction to the respective respondents to consider and decide the aforesaid representations in accordance with law by passing reasoned order(s) on or before 30.01.2023.

In case there is a failure, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for revival of this writ petition.

23.12.2022

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

SN

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No