

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

231

CWP-4206-2018 (O & M)

Date of Decision: April 06, 2022

RAKESH SHARMA AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.Mohnish Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.B.R.Mahajan, Senior Advocate with
Mr. R.S. Longia, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 10.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners had earlier approached this Court with regard to their grievance of fixing their seniority at the tail end and the petition was disposed of as premature while granting liberty to the petitioners to question the final seniority list of Assistant Linemen. The petitioners had sent a legal notice and representation (Annexure P-12), which have been rejected by the respondents by a non-speaking order.

Heard.

The impugned order is reproduced hereunder:-

“Sub: For fixation of seniority as ALM at the tale end of the employees recruited against advertisement No.8/2006 selected in 2007.

Reference to your request application dated 22.01.2017 on the subject cited above.

Your request application dated 22.11.2017 for fixation of seniority as ALM at the tale end of the employee

recruited against advertisement No.8/2006 selected in 2007 is considered by the competent authority and being not found feasible for acceptance hence rejected.

This issue with the approval of Chairman cum Managing Director, UHBVNL, Panchkula.

*Under Secretary
For CMD, UHBVNL, Panchkula”*

It is thus manifest that no reasons have been set out in the impugned order while rejecting the application of the petitioners.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners be permitted to submit a fresh representation and respondents be directed to consider and decide the same by passing a speaking order.

Consequently, the petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to prefer a representation to respondent No.2 within a period of four weeks from now. In the event of the petitioners preferring a representation, the same shall be considered and decided by respondent No.2 by passing a speaking order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

**April 06, 2022
A.Kaundal**

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No