

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

103

CM-20619-CWP-2022 &
CWP-30145-2022
Date of Decision :23.12.2022

Naveen Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

CM-20619-CWP-2022

As prayed for, application is allowed.

CWP-30145-2022

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner has been declared ineligible for competing for the post in question on the ground that the petitioner did not attach the true copy of the essential qualification whereas, the application form of the petitioner (Annexure P10) shows that the required qualification was possessed by the petitioner and the proof of the same has been attached with the application form therefore, reason being given by the respondents to declare the petitioner ineligible is factually incorrect.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned State counsel submits that in case petitioner raises any grievance by way of filing an appropriate representation before the respondent No.2, an appropriate order on the same will be passed within a period of 04 weeks from the receipt of said representation and the order passed by the respondent No.2 will be conveyed to the petitioner as well.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate representation raising her grievance before the respondents.

Ordered accordingly.

December 23, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No