

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**105**

**CRWP-12113-2022  
Decided on :23.12.2022**

**Sukhwinder Kaur and another**

**. . . Petitioner(s)**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and others**

**. . . Respondent(s)**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Ms. Reena Verma, Advocate  
for Mr. K.S. Kahlon, Advocate for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(ORAL)**

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 & 5 to provide protection of lives and liberty of the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that it is the first marriage of both the petitioners.

Learned counsel for petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 – Sukhwinder Kaur, aged about 24 years and petitioner No.2 – Saroop Singh, aged about 25 years, have got married on 21.12.2022 against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No. 6 and 7. It has been further submitted that private respondents are threatening to interfere in the matrimonial life of petitioners. Hence, petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 21.12.2022 (Annexure P-4) to

respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, District Gurdaspur, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

Notice of motion.

On advance notice, Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 5 (State).

In view of the above, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, District Gurdaspur, to look into the representation dated 21.12.2022 (Annexure P-4), qua threat perception and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that lives and liberty of petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)**  
**JUDGE**

**December 23, 2022**  
Poonam Sharma

|                           |          |
|---------------------------|----------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | : Yes/No |