

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(239)

CWP No. 35510 of 2019

Date of Decision : 13.12.2022

Manish Sharma and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anand Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioners is that the petitioners were entitled for appointment as per the merit obtained by them irrespective of the date of their appointment.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the controversy with regard to the advertisement under which the petitioners were selected, has been finally decided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in SLP (Civil) Diary No(s). 25269 of 2022 titled as ***Vikas Kumar and others Vs. The State of Haryana and others***, decided on 13.09.2022 and hence, in case keeping in view the order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, any grievance of the petitioners as raised in the present petition still subsists, the petitioners be given liberty to file appropriate representation with the respondents to claim the same.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any representation is received from the petitioners, the same will be decided in

accordance with law by passing appropriate order within a period of eight weeks by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, the petitioners are found entitled for any relief, the same will also be extended to them within a further period of four weeks.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

December 13, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No