

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-59979-2022
DECIDED ON: 21.12.2022**

AVTARJIT SHARMA AND ANOTHER **.....PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND ANOTHER **.....RESPONDENTS**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Anil Mehta, Advocate with
Mr. Karan Sachdeva, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sourabh Goel, Sr. Standing Counsel with
Mr. Tej Bahadur and Ms. Shivani Sahni, Advocates
for the respondents.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

However, he seeks relief to the extent that if he is ready and willing to join investigation in pursuance of the summons dated 02.12.2022 (Annexure P-1), for recording of the statements and fully associate in the judicial proceedings, no coercive steps be taken at this stage during the process of recording of the statement.

It is further made clear that such relaxation shall not be construed as a blanket order to interpret that an interim bail has been granted to the petitioner after the process of recording of the statement and enquiry is complete in any way,

Allowed as prayed for.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE**

21.12.2022

Poonam Negi

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*
Whether reportable *Yes/No*