

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

102

**CRWP-12090-2022(O&M)
Decided on : 22.12.2022**

Pooja Rani and another

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Rampal Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioners.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

CRM-W-1734-2022

This is an application, under Rule 3(A)(i), Chapter 6, Part-B, Volume-V, of the High Court Rules and Orders, seeking leave to appear, act or plead before this Court.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed as prayed for.

CRWP-12090-2022

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3, to provide protection of lives and liberty of the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 – Pooja Rani, aged about 25 years and petitioner No.2 – Shubham, aged about 25 years, have solemnized marriage on 20.12.2022, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 6. It has been submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking

protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 20.12.2022 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 (State).

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, to look into the representation dated 20.12.2022 (Annexure P-4), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

December 22, 2022

kavita nain

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*