

118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-12077-2022

Date of Decision: 22nd December, 2022

Kajal and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr.SP Soi, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. APS Tung, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

This petition is filed seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and family members of petitioner No. 2 at the hands of private respondents (arrayed in the petition), who have solemnised marriage against the wishes of private respondents.

Learned counsel for the State appearing on advance notice submits that on receiving the representation, efforts were made to contact the petitioners, the phone number mentioned in the petition were switched off and they were not found on the given address.

In view of the statement of counsel for the State, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the present petition and seeks liberty that the petitioners will approach the police authorities.

Dismissed as not pressed.

It is clarified that this Court has not commented upon the factum of marriage; validity of marriage of the petitioners and their age.

There is no doubt that in eventuality of petitioners approaching the police authorities, the needful in accordance with law would be done expeditiously.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

22nd December, 2022

anuradha