

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.105

**Civil Writ Petition No.29895 of 2022
Date of Decision : December 23, 2022**

Sumitra Devi Public School and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Pankaj Maini, Advocate, for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioners is against non-inclusion of their names in the list of existing schools. Earlier, they along with others had filed CWP No.10229 of 2022 which was disposed off with a direction to pass a speaking order within a limited time frame as it had been stated that requisite representation along with documents had already been submitted. Vide impugned order dated 22.07.2022 (Annexure P-1), the demand has been rejected on the ground that relevant record showing the existence of the petitioners for a period of four years prior to 31.03.2007 could not be produced.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the relevant record was produced as is evident from the record of the writ petition. However, it has not been taken into consideration.

Be that as it may, I deem it appropriate to direct the 2nd respondent to consider the case of the petitioners afresh after taking into consideration all the documents that have been annexed with the writ petition.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, no reply is necessary.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider this writ petition as a representation and to decide the same by passing a speaking order within eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Order dated 22.07.2022 (Annexure P-1) passed against the petitioners shall be deemed to have been quashed.

December 23, 2022

Ankur Goyal

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No