

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

CRM-M-60706-2022
Date of Decision: 23.12.2022

Anand Prakash Handa Petitioner
Versus
Assistant Director, Directorate of Enforcement and another Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Nitin Kant Setia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor General of India with
Mr. Shobhit Phutela, Advocate for respondent No. 1-ED.

Mr. Rajeev Anand, Advocate for respondent No. 2-CBI.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

In the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C, the petitioner is also seeking a prayer under Section 482 Cr.P.C, which in the opinion of this Court even misjoinder of pleadings because of the contradictory prayers for the reason that the petitioner is a proclaimed offender.

Notices served upon the official respondents through the State's counsel.

Counsel for respondent No. 1 has opposed the present bail.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a separate petition for challenging the proclamation order and a separate petition seeking bail.

The prayer being innocuous and the same is allowed.

Given above, the present petition stands disposed of having been withdrawn. All pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

It is clarified that disposal of the present petition shall not come in the way in case, the petitioner files separate petitions in the aforesaid extent.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

23.12.2022
Jyoti-II

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>