

CM-10390-CII-2022 in/and

COCp-3985-2018

Date of Decision :23.09.2022

Vinod Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

Karnesh Sharma

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)**CM-10390-CII-2022**

Allowed as prayed for.

COCp-3985-2018

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 23.05.2018, in CWP-13178-2018.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP-13179-2018, was disposed of by directing the respondent to look into the grievances of the petitioner as contained in representation dated 06.03.2018 and to take a conscious decision in respect thereto by passing a speaking order, within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for petitioner states that representation Annexure P/5, dated 06.03.2018, was decided by the

the petitioner entitled to promotion, the same has not been granted even after the lapse of more than two years. Learned counsel states that the petitioner has already submitted representations Annexure P/6 and P/7 dated 21.05.2020 and 10.11.2021, but no decision has been taken in respect thereto, therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioner does not press the instant petition but prays for issuance of directions to the respondent to consider and take a decision on the representations Annexure P/6 and P/7 dated 21.05.2020 and 10.11.2021.

4. Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab, states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

6. In view of the position noted above, no action is called for against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. Accordingly, the same is disposed of as such. Needless to mention, this Court is sanguine that the respondent would take a decision on the claim of the petitioner as contained in representations Annexure P/6 and P/7 dated 21.05.2020 and 10.11.2021 expeditiously failing which it would also be open to the petitioner to avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

23.09.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No