

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

104

CM-20612-CWP-2022 in/&
CWP-29517-2022
Date of Decision :23.12.2022

Rakesh Rani and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam Limited
and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate for the petitioners.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

CM-20612-CWP-2022

As prayed for, application is allowed.

CWP-29517-2022

Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that on his oral request, Department of Education, Haryana through its Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Haryana be impleaded as respondent No.4 as the decision with regard to the validity of the HTET examination is to be taken by the said authority.

Keeping in view the request of the learned counsel for the petitioners, Department of Education, Haryana through its Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Haryana is impleaded as respondent No.4.

Registry is directed to make necessary corrections in the memo of parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners intend to compete for the posts, which have been advertised by the Education Department, Haryana vide advertisements Annexures P/3 to P/5, copies of which have been appended with the present writ petition, but the petitioners are not being treated eligible on the ground that their HTET examination is more than 07 years old, which is not permissible. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that initially life span of HTET examination was fixed upto 07 years but, National Council of Teacher Education in its 50th General Body Meeting decided that validity of the said HTET examination will remain for life unless and until notified by the Government otherwise.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the said decision, the respondents are under an obligation to decide whether the State sticks to its decision that validity of the HTET examination will be of 07 years or the same is to be treated valid for life but no decision has been taken by the respondents, which is causing prejudice to the petitioners.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned State counsel submits that in case the petitioners raises the said grievance by way of filing an appropriate representation before the respondents, an appropriate speaking order on the same will be passed by the respondents within a period of 04 weeks of the receipt of the said representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to file an appropriate representation raising their grievance before the respondents.

Ordered accordingly.

December 23, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No