

Angrej Singh and another

....Petitioners

VS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Narinder Singh Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioners

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

It is submitted that vide judgment and decree dated 24.09.1997 passed in Civil Suit No. 371-1 dated 09.03.1989 the predecessor-in-interest of the petitioner was declared owner to the extent of 1/3rd share in land mentioned in the decree sheet. However, mutation on the basis thereof has not been entered till date. Legal notice dated 09.02.2022 (Annexure P-5) has also not yielded any positive result.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 4 only.

Mr. Gurvinder Singh, AAG Punjab notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4 and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to file reply.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3 to decide the pending legal notice dated 09.02.2022 (Annexure P-5) by passing a speaking order within eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

22.12.2022

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGEWhether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :Yes/No
Yes/No