

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(118)

CWP No. 29541 of 2022

Date of Decision : 21.12.2022

Harpreet Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Jasbir Singh Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the claim of the petitioner for the grant of selection and appointment is covered by the decision by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as ***Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another***, decided on 27.05.2015 and the petitioner is entitled for the benefit as extended to the petitioners in ***Gurmail Kaur's case (supra)*** subject to the outcome of the proceedings, which are pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that similarly situated employees had approached this Court with the same prayer and this Court was pleased to dispose of those writ petitions vide order dated 29.08.2022, a copy of which order has been appended as Annexure P-10 hence, the petitioner is also entitled for the same relief as being extended to

the petitioners vide order dated 29.08.2022.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the demand notice dated 27.11.2022 (Annexure P-9) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the demand notice dated 27.11.2022 (Annexure P-9) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the demand notice, respondent No. 2 is directed to decide the demand notice dated 27.11.2022 (Annexure P-9), by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

December 21, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*

Whether reportable? *No*