

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 29724 of 2022

Date of Decision: 22.12.2022

M/s Rattangarh Bus Service Regd.

-Petitioner

Versus

The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab and another

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has preferred this writ petition for the issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No.2 to consider and decide the application filed by the petitioner on 14.08.2019 for grant of stage carriage permit in terms of Clause 3-E of the New Transport Scheme of 2018.

Grievance of the petitioner is that despite moving an application on 14.08.2019, needful in the context of taking final decision has not been done by the competent authority/ respondent No.2 so far.

Precisely, for the claim in question, the petitioner has already served a legal notice dated 30.03.2022 in furtherance of earlier legal notice dated 30.12.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case a time bound direction is given to the respondent No.2 to take note of pending legal notice dated 30.03.2022 and pass a speaking order in accordance with law.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

In view of nature of prayer made by the petitioner, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to take note of pending legal notice dated 30.03.2022 got issued by the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with law, preferably within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

22.12.2022

Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes/No |