

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(112)

CWP No. 29484 of 2022
Date of Decision : 21.12.2022

Suraj Bhan

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Jagbir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who is working as a Guest Teacher, has been dislodged under the garb of the transfer by passing order dated 22.11.2022 (Annexure P-9) and the said transfer is contrary to the transfer policy applicable hence, the respondents are liable to re-consider the transfer of the petitioner from Gurugram to Nuh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 01.12.2022 (Annexure P-10) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 01.12.2022 (Annexure P-10) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the representation, respondent No. 2 is directed to decide the representation dated 01.12.2022 (Annexure P-10), by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

December 21, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*

Whether reportable? *No*