

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

126

CWP-29911-2022

DATE OF DECISION: 22.12.2022

SUMAN DEVI

... Petitioner (s)

Versus

UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM AND ORS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Ketan Antil, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the husband of the petitioner, who was working as Assistant Lineman, had expired on 03.05.2019. She has applied for compassionate appointment but no action has been taken by the respondents. The mother-in-law of the petitioner had also claimed the financial benefits and a civil suit is pending with regard thereto but there is no impediment in the claim of the petitioner for compassionate appointment. He further submits that the petitioner has preferred representations (Annexure P-2 to P-4) to the respondents and prays that the petition may be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the same.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Dushyant Saharan, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Heard.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-4) in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

22.12.2022

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No