

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRWP-11963-2022

Decided on : 20.12.2022

Shankar and another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Nirmal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

Learned counsel for petitioners submits that Petitioner No. 1- Shankar, aged 22 years 5 months, has performed marriage on 16.12.2022, with petitioner No. 2 – Roshni, aged 21 years 3 months. Both belongs to Hindu religion but have solemnized inter-caste marriage. Marriage has been solemnized against the wishes of family members of petitioner No.2, arrayed as respondent No. 4 and 5. It has been further submitted that private respondents are threatening to interfere in the matrimonial life of petitioners. Hence, petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 16.12.2022 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Panipat, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 (State).

In view of the above, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Panipat to look into the representation dated 16.12.2022 (Annexure P-4) *qua* threat perception and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that lives and liberty of petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

December 20, 2022

kavita nain

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*