

Rahul

.....Petitioner

Versus

Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Chopra, Advocate,
for the respondents.**RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)**

This is a petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents not to replace the petitioner with other contractual employees on the post of Young Professional-II Animal Farm Section, in the department of respondent No.2 and not to relieve the petitioner.

The counsel for the respondents has relied upon the notification dated 20.04.1987 (Annexure R-1) and has submitted that the respondents-organization has been notified to be under the jurisdiction of the Central Administrative Tribunal with reference to the disputes of its employees; and hence, the petitioner is having alternate remedy of approaching the Central Administrative Tribunal.

In view of the above, this Court does not intend to proceed any further with the present petition. Accordingly, the same is dismissed.

However, the petitioner would be at liberty to approach the Central Administrative Tribunal for the same cause of action.

It is further ordered that in case the petitioner approaches the Central Administrative Tribunal within four weeks from today, then the objection qua limitation shall not be available to the respondents.

The pending miscellaneous application, if any, is also disposed of as such.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

04.05.2022
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No