

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-35011-2019
Date of Decision: 05.04.2022

Sunil Tyagi

....Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ashok Tyagi, Advocate
for the petitioner

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana

Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate
for respondent No. 5

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No. 5 submits that the said respondent would not demolish the common wall.

In view of the aforementioned submission, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

05.04.2022
reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No