

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

129

CWP-29408-2022

Date of Decision: 20.12.2022

Seema Goyal

....Petitioner.

Versus

Authorised Officer, Punjab National Bank and another ...Respondents.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR**

.....

Present: Mr. Ankush Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO, J. (Oral)

Petitioner's grievance is that the petitioner had given a representation on 21.11.2022 through a legal notice Annexure P-7 seeking refund of an amount of ₹15,00,000/- which he had paid on behalf of respondent No.2 for the purpose of an OTS which was being considered at that time between the respondents.

Notice of motion.

Mr. C.S. Pasricha, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent-bank.

In view of the submissions of the petitioner's counsel, this writ petition is disposed of directing respondent No.1 to consider the representation/legal notice dt.21.11.2022 made by the petitioner within four weeks and communicate its decision thereon to the petitioner.

**(M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO)
JUDGE**

**(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE**

20.12.2022

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No