

CRM-M-50923-2019

Date of decision: 19.04.2022

WASEEMA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, DAG, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

This is the petition under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C read with Section 482 Cr.P.C for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondent No.2.

On instructions from HC Anil, learned State counsel has asserted that respondent No.2 has been acquitted by the learned trial Court in terms of judgment dated 27.09.2021 and the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

In view of the aforesaid categoric assertion of the learned State counsel, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move an application for revival of the petition within a period of three months, in the event, the aforesaid assertion is found to be factually incorrect.

19.04.2022
renubala(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGEWhether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No